# FBSS: JUST ANOTHER CHRONIC PAIN PRESENTATION?

#### Dr Terence C Lim

MBBS, FAFRM(RACP), FFPMANZCA Consultant in Rehabilitation and Pain Medicine The North Eastern Rehabilitation Centre

#### (Roy) Carey's Canon Number 1

"The system works best for everyone in it, if it is broken"

(except for patients, insurers and the tax payers of Australia)

Lewin et al. BMC Health Services Research (2021) 21:955 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06900-8

BMC Health Services Research

#### RESEARCH

#### Open Access

Check for

#### Rates, costs, return to work and reoperation following spinal surgery in a workers' compensation cohort in New South Wales, 2010–2018: a cohort study using administrative data

AM Lewin1", M Fearnside<sup>2</sup>, R Kuru<sup>3</sup>, BP Jonker<sup>4</sup>, JM Naylor<sup>1</sup>, M Sheridan<sup>5</sup> and IA Harris<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

Background: Internationally, elective spinal surgery rates in workers' compensation populations are high, as are reoperation rates, while return-to-work rates following spinal surgery are low. Little information is available from Australia. The aim of this study was to describe the rates, costs, return to work and reoperation following elective spinal surgery in the workers' compensation population in New South Wales (NSW), Australia.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study used administrative data from the State Insurance Regulatory Authority, the government organisation responsible for regulating and administering workers' compensation insurance in NSW. These data cover all workers' compensation-insured workers in New South Wales (over 3 million workers/ year). We identified a cohort of insured workers who underwent elective spinal surgery (fusion or decompression) between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2018. People who underwent surgery for spinal fracture or dislocation, or who had sustained a traumatic brain injury were excluded. The main outcome measures were annual spinal surgery rates, cost of the surgical episode, cumulative costs (surgical, hospital, medical and physical therapy) to 2 years post-surgery, and reoperation and return-to-work rates 2 years post-surgery.

Results: There were 9343 eligible claims (39.1 % fusion; 59.9 % decompression); claimants were predominantly male (75 %) with a mean age of 43 (range 18 to 75) years. Spinal surgery rates ranged from 15 to 29 surgeries per 100,000 workers per year, fell from 2011-12 to 2014-15 and rose thereafter. The average cost in Australian dollars for a surgical episode was \$46,000 for a spinal fusion and \$20,000 for a decompression. Two years post-fusion, only 19 % of people had returned to work at full capacity; 39 % after decompression. Two years post-fusion, only underwent additional spinal surgery within 2 years of the index surgery, to a maximum of 5 additional surgeries.

\* Correspondence: adriane.lewin@unsw.edu.au

South Western Sydney Clinical School, UNSW; Whitlam Orthopaedic Research Centre, Ingham Institute for Applied Medical Research, NSW, Liverpool, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



III The Author(s). 2021 Open Access This sticle is licensed under a Orative Common Artibution 440 International License, which permits une, sharing, adaptations, databation and reproduction in any medium or format, also ong any support and perpenditive credit to the intiginal author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Country Common Interce, and indicate if changes were made. The imagins or other third party material in this article are included in the article). Thereas, and indicate if interces, under the indicate of thermain in a credit line to the material. The included in the article). The interces is an article are included in the article of the material in the included in the article. Thereas, using the product on the obtain permission development of the article are included in the article. Thereas, using the production of the interces, with the production of the interces, and in the article are included in the article of the interces, with the producted common license, and production of the production are access of the license, with the producted common license and production of the interces, and and are access of the license, with the producted common license and the article is an article area intercess of the permitted use, you will need to obtain permitted use is not permitted use a copy of the license, with the producted common license and the article is the article is an article area intercess of the permitted use is not be associated in the article in the article in the article is the article in the artic





Royal Australasian College of Surgeons

#### ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY

#### Lumbar spinal fusion surgery outcomes in a cohort of injured workers in the Victorian workers' compensation system

Janine S. McMillan PhD 🔀, Kyle Jones BA, BSc(Hons), Leonard Forgan PhD, Ljoudmila Busija PhD, Roy P. L. Carey MBBS(Hons) FRACS(Orth), Andrea M. de Silva PhD, Mark G. Phillips BPhysio; Grad Dip Erg

First published: 25 November 2021 | https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.17391 | Citations: 1

**J. S. McMillan** PhD; **K. Jones** BA, BSc(Hons); **L. Forgan** PhD; **L. Busija** PhD; **R. P. L. Carey** MBBS(Hons) FRACS(Orth); **A. M. de Silva** PhD; **M. G. Phillips** BPhysio; Grad Dip Erg. The corresponding author, Janine McMillan, is not a recipient of a research scholarship.

The paper is not based on a previous communication to a society or meeting but has been presented as an oral presentation at the Actuaries Institute 2021 Injury and Disability Schemes Seminar in October 2021

#### Background

Lumbar spinal fusion (LSF) outcomes for workers' compensation patients are worse than for the general population. The objectives were to examine the long-term work capacity, opioid prescription and mental health outcomes of injured workers who have undergone LSF surgery in Victoria, Australia, and to identify demographic and pre- and postoperative characteristics associated with these outcomes.

#### Methods

Retrospective study of 874 injured workers receiving elective LSF from 2008 to 2016 in the Victorian workers' compensation system. WorkSafe Victoria's claims data were used to infer outcomes for recovery. Association of demographics, pre-surgery and surgery variables with outcomes were modelled using multivariate multinomial logistic

regression analyses.

#### Results

Twenty-four months after LSF surgery, 282 (32.3%) of the 874 injured workers had substantial work capacity, 388 (44.4%) were prescribed opioids, and 330 (37.8%) were receiving mental health treatment.

Opioid prescription and limited work capacity before surgery were independent strong predictors of opioid prescription, reduced work capacity and mental health treatment 24 months after LSF. Pre-operative mental health treatment was associated with the use of mental health treatment at 24 months. Other predictors for poor outcomes included a greater than 12-month duration from injury to surgery, LSF re-operation and common law or impairment benefit lodgement before surgery.

#### Conclusion

An association between pre-operative factors and post-operative outcomes after LSF in a Victorian workers' compensation population was identified, suggesting that pre-operative status may influence outcomes and should be considered in LSF decisions. The high opioid use indicates that opioid management before and after surgery needs urgent review.

#### Likelihood of RTW after various times off work Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, Vol 4, No. 2, 1994



# What do I do?

Things to consider
 Interventions
 Pain Rehabilitation

#### **Things to Consider:**

?Ongoing Nociception
 ? Patient expectations
 Psychology/Traumatic (developmental) history
 Central sensitisation

# 1. Assumption

Following spine surgery, the referring surgeon has further investigated/treated any additional spinal nociception









### Adjacent Segment



### Inferior Adjacent Segment



### Non-union





#### 2. Patient Expectations

 Pain cure
 "Spinal decompression will fix my back pain"

#### 3. Pschological/Behavioural Aspects = Poor Adaptability

#### Olympia Private Rehabilitation Hospital Chronic Pain Survey

Pain Measures:

Dr James Olver 2006

- Visual analogue scale (VAS)
- Pain Disability Index (PDI)
- (Oswestry) Back Disability Index
- Neck Pain Disability Index (NDI)

**Psychiatric Measures:** 

- Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS)
- Hysteroid / Obsessoid Questionnaire (HOQS)

**Physical Scales** 



### HOQs - Obsessionality



Trauma-related Developmental History = Poor Adaptability

- Major interference with coping

   Resilience
- Abuse Obvious
- Chronic low grade = Role Model
   truing to most the expectations of
  - trying to meet the expectations of others
- Chronic overdoers activity "above threshold"
  - More is better!
  - Mx of anxiety
- Masquerade as Personality Disorder

### Personality Disorder = Poor Adaptability

- 12.2 across 10 Western Countries
- 45.5% of "Psychiatric" patients
- 24% 66% of chronic pain patients

# **GATE Theory**

Melzack & Wall 1962
Fast fibres travel to the spinal cord and block the slow fibres
Other areas of the brain and sensations from other body parts have an effect on this system as well

# Pain Neurophysiology





1. Tortora, G., Brabowski, S.R. Principles of Anatomy and Physiology, 10<sup>th</sup> Ed (2003)

# **Central Sensitisation**

#### •Central Nervous System Pain Pathway Sensitisation

 Neurophysiological changes in central nervous system pain pathway:
 Spinal cord (superficial dorsal horn) that project to and from the brain

To cure chronic pain means having to cure Central Sensitisation: *Nil at present* 



### **Central Sensitisation**

#### Consequences:

- Lowered pain threshold
- *Amplified* pain response that is *perpetuated*
- Spontaneous pain generation (phantom limb pain model)
- *Recruitment* of other parts of the body to experience pain due to expansion of sensitised SC fields
  - Focal > Local > Regional > Generalised (fibromyalgia)

### Associations with CS

Sensory: *Physical*: - Persistent pain - Muscular hyperirritability - Allodynia - "Trigger points" - Loss of "body awareness" - Pain amplification - Loss of sequencing/coordination - Distortion of normal sensation Central Sensitisation Visceral/ANS dysfunction: Cognitive / Behavioural: - Irritable bladder/bowel - Memory / Learning deficits - Vascular changes - "Hypervigilance" - Insomnia - Irritability / reduced tolerance - skin/hair/nail/sweating - Anxiety / depression

### **Physical / Function Issues**

Musculoskeletal: • Trigger Points Loss of flexibility Loss of "Body" Awareness" Deconditioning Also psychological deconditioning







# **Functional Capacity**

Central Sensitisation = lowered pain threshold

- Pain can be experienced/amplified at lower levels of physical activity and persistent – much lower than injury/impairment
- Therefore, lowered functional capacity commensurate with lowered pain threshold
- Appropriate work = Part-time employment to be "meaningfully occupied"

# New IASP Definition of Pain:

An aversive sensory and emotional experience typically caused by, or resembling that caused by, actual or potential tissue injury

IASP = International Association for the Study of Pain

#### Evoked vs Spontaneous Pain in OA

Brain activity for chronic knee osteoarthritis: dissociating evoked pain from spontaneous pain Eur J Pain 15 (8), 843-851



- A. Knee pressure-evoked pain activated brain regions commonly observed for acute pain
- B. Spontaneous OA pain engaged medial prefrontal-limbic cortical areas, indicating that it is more of an emotional state

### Brief Pain Inventory (BPI)

On the diagram, either move the yellow circles onto, or shade in the areas where you feel pain.

Drag the red "bomb" or mark an X on the area that hurts most.





Please mark with an 'X' above the one percentage that best shows how much relief you have received from pain treatments or medications in the last week.

0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%

No relief

Complete relief

#### Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) Pain Severity

Please rate your pain with an 'X' above the one number that best describes your pain at its worst in the last week.



#### Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) Pain Interference

- a. General activity
- b. Mood
- c. Walking ability
- d. Normal work (includes both outside the home and domestic duties)
- e. Relations with other people
- f. Sleep
- g. Enjoyment of life



Divide by 7 for score out of 10

#### Interpretation of Pain Experience

- Severity of Pain = Perception of being "In Control"
- Pain Interference degree of perceived disability
- Fluctuating levels of pain
   Coping Resilience
- Managing pain is about managing an individual's Emotions
- increasing "In Control"



### Acute vs Chronic (Persistent) Pain

#### ACUTE

- Known cause
  - Nociception
     Inflammatory
     Neuropathic
- Treatment available
- Cure expected
- Limited time course

#### **CHRONIC** (> 3/12)

- Known & unknown [multiple] causes
- Adequate treatment not available
- Cure not available
- Indeterminate time course



#### Chronic Pain: 2 Concurrent Intertwined Processes

 Single episode Injury OR Persisting
 Pathology e.g. Osteoarthritis, Neural Impingement

Nociceptive
Inflammatory
Neuropathic

 Person's Response to Pathological process

Perpetuate/Aggravate Pain Condition:

Central Sensitisation
 Psychological reaction
 Physical/Function Deficits
Chronic Pain as a DISABILITY > Rehab Medicine Model of Mx

Whole person dysfunction (psychosocial/physical/medical components)

[as consequence of a previous or ongoing Impairment] PsychoSocial Component = Major determinant of Prognosis Internal vs External locus of control

### Belief System





### Poor adaptability

- Acceptance:
  - *No* cure for chronic pain
  - Chronic pain *Does Not* equate to persistent and/or recurrent injury
- Adjustment to changed circumstances

### Psychological readiness

 Active participant – increase internal locus of control = psychological preparation

# Aim of pain rehabilitation program:

To get back "IN CONTROL" = The ultimate desensitisation therapy

# **Program Structure**

### o Pain Team

- Nurse, Physio, O/T, Psychologist +/- Psychiatrist
- Inpatient 2 weeks > +/-O/P > R/Vs
  - Group education and individual application
    - Emotional, physical, functional activity desensitisation
    - Low dose, adjunctive Ketamine infusion
- Historical Outpatients only 2X/week for 8 weeks and then 4-6, monthly team R/vs
  - "Residential stay"
- May need ongoing psychological/psychiatric therapy (community)

#### Pain Rehabilitation Programme Patient Information

- You have been referred by your doctor/surgeon for assessment and management of your Pain Condition.
- Read this handout carefully as it is important that you understand the information. Without this understanding, the pain rehabilitation program will not work for you.
- If you have any questions about the information, please ask your pain medicine doctor at the next appointment. He will assess your suitability and readiness to enter the North Eastern Rehabilitation Centre pain rehabilitation program.

#### Acute Pain

Acute or nociceptive pain is pain caused by injury - tissues are damaged and inflammatory chemicals released, resulting in a combination of pain, local heat, redness, swelling and loss of normal function.

For the vast majority of people, as the injury heals, the pain resolves. This usually occurs within 3 months of suffering the injury. In some people and for reasons that are still unclear, even though the injury heals, pain persists.

#### Chronic or Persistent Pain

When pain has persisted beyond 3 months, it is defined as chronic or persistent pain.

The causes of chronic or persistent pain are complex, multifactorial and different from those of acute pain. The scientific research has pointed in the direction of Pain Sensitisation. As a consequence of suffering pain, one's pain system can become "imitable", leading to changes in structure and function:-

The pain system is a protective mechanism. It normally collects pain messages from the injured body part(s) and transmits them to the brain, making the person aware that they are about to suffer injury or that tesues have aiready been damaged. When the injury heals, these pain messages stop and acute pain resolves. In people where the injury heals but pain pensists, there is a vast body of research evidence to demonstrate that the pain system has changed, resulting in the development of Pain Sensitisation.

The consequences of Pain Sensitisation include:

North Eastern Rehabilitation Centre

- > A lowered pain threshold so that pain can be caused at much lower levels of activity and not by injury. When sensitisation is severe, attending to one's daily routine can be painful.
- > Pain Amplification, so that the longer one soflers pain, it can become increasingly severe.
- > Spontaneous pain, where injury can heal but pain can persist. Thus, persistent pain does not need to be caused by ongoing injury as the pain is now being generated by the pain system itself e.g. phantom pain after amputation of a limb. This explains not only the constant pain one can suffer, but also the sudden and unpredictable attacks (flaves) of increased pain that can occur without further or new injury.
- > Recruitment or the spread of pain to affect other parts of the body inespective of whether that part had suffered an injury or was previously painful e.g. the opposite side of body or even the whole body.

tion compiled by DicTonnia C.Lin, Pain Madicing Specialist and the NERC Pain Team (New 2010).

#### Pain Rehabilitation Programme Patient Information

#### Other conditions associated with Pain Sensitisation

- > Persistent muscular hyperimitability known as Myofascial Pain Syndrome, with very tender "trigger points" in the muscle tissue that can trigger or refer pain to other parts of one's body, even minicking nerve pain:
- > Biomechanical imbalance due to altered posture and movement patterns that serve only to maintain hyperimitable muscles, increase stress on joints and other body parts to cause pain;
- > Increasing emotional reaction which in turn, can further increase the severity of the pain. These emotional reactions include frustration, anger, disitusionment, cyricism, anviety and depression.
- > Reduced ability to tolerate daily stresses e.g. people and crowds, noise, light, movement etc.

TO DATE. THE RESEARCH HAS INDICATED THAT THERE IS NO CURE FOR CHRONIC OR PERSISTENT PAIN, ONCE PAIN SENSITISATION IS ESTABLISHED.

#### The North Eastern Rehabilitation Centre Pain Rehabilitation Programme

The NERC Pain Rehabilitation Programme is amed at providing the person suffering chronic or persistent pain an opportunity to learn to become their own pain therapist and pain manager through learning practical selftwatmont and self-management techniques and strategies so that:

- Pain can be reduced
- · Function can increase, including returning to employment and ultimately
- · One's quality of life can be improved.

#### Achieving pain cure is not a goal of this program.

Your team includes a combination of pain medicine doctor, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, psychologist, psychiatrist, nurse and social worker. The program is customised to the needs of the person and delivered by a one-on-one basis.

#### Your Responsibility

Your NERC pain rehabilitation programme can provide you with the opportunity to achieve an improvement in your pain condition and quality of life. This can only occur if you accept hisponsibility for becoming your own pain therapist and pain manager through being actively involved in your own therapy

Please read the above carefully. If you have any queries, discuss them with your pain medicine doctor.



Information compiled by Dr. Lenence C. Lim, Pain Medicine Specialist and the NERC Pain Team (Nov. 2010).

# Ketamine Protocol

- Utilisation of Ketamine as "Adjunctive Therapy" as its pain-reducing effects are temporary and it does not cure anything
- NMDA receptor antagonist (pathway of central sensitisation)
- 4mg/ml
- Commence at 1 ml/hr
- □ Increase by 1 ml/hr BD to 3ml/hr (4ml/hr)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> daily LFTs
- Wean by 1 ml/hr BD to 0 after 8 days or before, if LFTs increase

## **Ketamine Handout**

#### Ketamine

Patient Information

Your obottor frue presenties of a lose close. Kalantens influitor, se part of your treatment whilet in incepted.

#### What in Ketamine?

Kelerities is a medication preactised as an adjustities treatment of otheraic pairs and san also be oblight to assort with the withdrewel of medication each as Opinida – morphise-based analysiss.

#### What does it do?

The error of the tose-close Katemine inflation is to indice your pain. It can indice the required does of analysis: methodisms.

Kalamina may also be used to maximize your ability to improve introlement and activities during your mixeditation so that you can disensities. Our to baring on a lose does you will be able to actively participate in your mixeditation programme.

#### How is it delivered?

Low close following inflations are delivered by a subotheredus (SVC or under the site) account device – this is a straid counts (match) matched into the subothermost laster under the site. The many area of matched is in the adoktment but the upper arms of their test but used.

Your influent will commence at a tow role and gradually be increased as per your doctor's orders.

> Rocfili Sandern Reinde Blacken Gentes (34 Fost Stand, Inselne VIC 3378 Phene: 13 9474 SBD) | Fos: 13 9424 | www.huthensimmedialitietersambe.com.au 2015 25 45 12 | Withousehingthi

The overage length of the inflation is 5 - 10 days. The offsch of the Volentine Inflation man halo up to 3 days to be deserved. Your National inflation will be above reasoned choice and their cases of plot in you going home.

North Eastern

Rehabilitation Centre



#### Is there anything else I need to know?

Your influence will be membred insulty, we you will result to return to your moment for filter to occur. During the occurse of the influence you will have second-duly blood basis.

The Kelandre pump will need to be removed for introven, hydrothempy and levering the hospital. Please notify your nume and allow enough time to enough the pump when required. The includes going for a weak outside the hospital grounds. Ketamine

#### Patient Information

#### Prehabilitation Centre

#### Side Effects

Possible and effects (reprictely at high closes which are not part of the program) can include.

- ?textche
- "Saling word"
- Chalastrations
   Chalastrations
   Charasteria in Direct pressure and 7 or paints
- Nepimens
- · Numb, implication
- Nume, angling to
- Highland embodimpose
   Increase in live function bets 3.FTM
- · Linto jurting (udnerno cause)

If you appetences care of the above signs or are concentrate about how you field, more that by your mane it required, you more will contrast your doctor. Your influion can be turned down or of at any time and the about pathol. In your astackle within a about pathol of time.

Infection is one possible complications of having a device in place.

#### To help prevent infection: • Work your hands from give before

North Eastern

- touching the device and/or útilies the hand sentises
- Only loach the device or anything attacted to it when you have to:
- Partircl others who touch your device to clean their hands that.
- Advise free nurses it the desailing covering the device starts to lift off.
- If you notice that the area around the cleater to partial, not, hot or swollars, please ratify the name immediately. The pairs and redress can be at writy also of the carmals or the automotion plan.



For the National pump to Arothen efficiently, do not "play" with your pump – 11th elements sounding, cell for your nume.

Temporing with the equipresent may result in inversent teeps caused.

If you have any queries regarding your insubment, please talk to your rames or doctor.

Harfs Castern Retabilitation Carden 193 Fed Shark, Verfax VC 2017) Phone CD 9078 5001 [ Fee: CD 9099 5041 ] www.corfbeniarendo.bitationarchis.com.au 0012 2019 51 | Harfweynwydd

### WC/TAC Pain Inpatient Admissions 2024:

BPI Pain Severity/Interference	Adm S/I	Disch S/ <mark>I</mark>	3/52 S/ <mark>I</mark>
<ul> <li>RK (17/01/2024)</li> </ul>	6.6/ <mark>7.5</mark>	1.3/ <mark>1.4</mark>	3.7 <mark>/3.2</mark>
<ul> <li>DM (18/01/2024)</li> </ul>	3.5/ <mark>5.43</mark>	4.0/ <mark>3.7</mark>	6.5 <mark>/5.4</mark>
<ul> <li>HK (29/01/2024)</li> </ul>	5.3/ <mark>10</mark>	3.0/3.8	4.0/ <mark>8.4</mark>
<ul> <li>AH (5/02/2024) (TAC)</li> </ul>	6.5/ <mark>7.7</mark>	4.5/ <mark>3.8</mark>	5.3/ <mark>5.4</mark>
<ul> <li>DU (11/02/2024)</li> </ul>	5.9/ <mark>8.2</mark>	3.3/ <mark>3.3</mark>	3.5/ <mark>3.8</mark>
<ul> <li><u>VN(</u>11/03/2024)</li> </ul>	7.0/ <mark>8.9</mark>	3.8/ <mark>4.3</mark>	6.3/ <mark>5.9</mark>
<ul> <li><u>GH(</u>17/03/2024)</li> </ul>	7.8/ <mark>9.4</mark>	2.5/ <mark>2.4</mark>	3.4/ <mark>3.2</mark>
<ul> <li>DP (31/03/2024)</li> </ul>	7.5/ <mark>8.8</mark>	5.0/ <mark>9.1</mark>	8.8 <mark>/9.1</mark>
N=8 Average	6.3/ <mark>6.3</mark>	3.4/ <b>4.0</b>	5.2/ <b>5.6</b>

# Medications

- Analgesics No
  - Simple / compound
  - Opioids
- Pregabalin (Lyrica) / gabapentin (Neurontin) No
  - $\alpha 2\delta$  receptor in CNS = analgesic
- Antidepressants:
  - TCA amitriptyline Sometimes
  - SSRIs / SNRIs
    - Duloxetine (*Cymbalta*) dual inhibitor of serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake - Yes
- Muscle relaxants No
- Seroquel (quetiapine) Yo
  - To assist with "wind down" during 24 hour cycle including sleep

## "Pain Procedures"

### Surgery/Procedures - symptomatic relief only

- Facet joint/medial branch blocks
- ganglion/sympathetic plexus blocks
- Radiofrequency denervation

### Intrathecal pump (opioids) – historical interest

- Tolerance
- Opioid-induced hyperalgesia
- Spinal cord stimulation

### Spinal surgery requests at WorkSafe Victoria (from 1 July 2017) WorkSafe

#### **Spinal Surgery Advisory Panel**



 Advises WorkSafe on Spinal Surgery requests and billing

#### **Multi Disciplinary Independent Medical Examinations**

- Spinal Surgeon and Pain Medicine Specialist
- For the most complex requests including LSF
- Where surgery not appropriate, alternative treatment recommendations made about other possible treatments

# Spinal Surgery MD IME Questions:

- I. What is the diagnosis/condition with respect to the claimed injury?
- 2. What are the injured workers expectations of the surgery outcomes? In your opinion are these expectations reasonable?
- 3. Is the service of (the operation requested) appropriate for the claimed injury? If not, why?
- 4. Are there other or more appropriate services (alternative operations or pain management strategies) which would be applicable for the claimed injury?



## Additional Initiative Co-consults between pain proceduralist and pain rehabilitation

# Thank You