

Neuropathic and mixed pain: Post amputation pain

Lin Tchia Yeng MD, MSc, PhD

Pain Center, Hospital das Clínicas University of Sao Paulo Brazil





No conflicts of interest



Objectives

- Describe briefly the concept of mixed pain
- Different aspects of pain in post-amputation pain (PAP) patients
- Detailed the presentation of phantom limb pain and residual limb pain
- Outline the treatment options
- Explain the importance of improving coordination amongst interprofessional team members to optimize outcomes of rehabilitation for these patients



Pathophysiology of pain



Figure 1. The three different types of pain defined by the IASP give rise to overlap which can be acknowledged as "mixed pain" (Freynhagen (). Conditions described as "mixed pain" in the literature share a common characterization of manifesting clinically with a substantial overlap of the different known pain types.

TRAUMA TECHNOLOGY L TIMING L-1 JUNE 3024 Must Julie CURRENT MEDICAL RESEARCH AND OPINION 2019, VOL. 35, NO. 6, 1011–1018 https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2018.1552042 Article ST-0479.R1/1552042 All rights reserved: reproduction in whole or part not permitted



REVIEW

Check for updates

Current understanding of the mixed pain concept: a brief narrative review

Rainer Freynhagen^{a,b}, Harold Arevalo Parada^c, Carlos Alberto Calderon-Ospina^{d,e}, Juythel Chen^f, Dessy Rakhmawati Emril⁹, Freddy J. Fernández-Villacorta^h, Hector Francoⁱ, Kok-Yuen Ho^j, Argelia Lara-Solares^k, Carina Ching-Fan Li^I, Alberto Mimenza Alvarado^m, Sasikaan Nimmaanratⁿ, Maria Dolma Santos^{o,p} and Daniel Ciampi de Andrade^q

Mixed pain: practice pearls

- The diagnosis of mixed pain is made based on clinical judgment following detailed history-taking and thorough physical examination
- When encountering a patient who presents with an overlap of nociceptive and neuropathic symptoms, consider mixed pain as a working diagnosis
- Set early treatment with a combination of agents targeting nociceptive and neuropathic mechanisms
- Also perform a careful evaluation for comorbidities (e.g. disturbed sleep, mood alterations, sarcopenia...) and manage accordingly

Check for updates	The global burden of traumatic	
OPEN ACCESS	amputation in 204 countries and	frontiers Frontiers in Public Health
EDITED BY Mary Sheehan, Queensland University of Technology, Australia	territories	
REVIEWED BY	Bei Yuan, Dong Hu, Suxi Gu*, Songhua Xiao* and Fei Song*	

- Amputation causes disability and severe impairments in human mobility, physical and emotional functions, with a strong impact on the QOL
- Significant global health economic burden
- The leading cause of amputation varies from region to region:
- Peripheral vascular disease and diabetes are the most important causes of amputation in developed countries
- In developing countries, trauma is still the main cause of amputation



- The number of patients living with amputations is increasing, with approximately 115 000 amputations performed annually, and is predicted to increase from 2 million to more than 3.5 million by the year 2050 in the USA
- A major challenge with studying PLP is that it is a multifaceted experience
- There are the **combined sensory**, **emotional**, **and cognitive domains**
- How pain is experienced is modulated by multiple dynamic factors, such as genetics, personal experiences of the loss of the limb, sleep, general health status, and psychosocial factors







Phantom limb pain (PLP)

- Phantom limb pain (PLP) is a common consequence of limb amputations, occurring in 60%–68% of cases (mean: 64%)
- 10%–15% experience severe pain episodes
- 50%–85% may develop chronic PLP
- Among those with chronic PLP, up to 25% endure significant pain-related disability







Risk factors for PLP

Most common factors associated with PLP	FACTORS OF INTEREST	NUMBER OF STUDIES REPORTING EACH FACTOR	STRENGTH OF ASSOCIATION	MEASURE OF ASSOCIATION	SAMPLE SIZE	REFERENCES
	Residual limb pain	5	Very strong Very strong Strong Strong Moderate	31.2 (8.97-108.50) [‡] 11.17 (p<0.01) [‡] 7.03 (1.34-36.82) [‡] 3.90 (p<0.001) [‡] 3.90 (p<0.01) [§]	139 (ULA/LLA) 141 (ULA) 52 (LLA) 536 (ULA/LLA) 52 (ULA/LLA)	Ahmed et al., 2017 Desmond et al., 2010 Richardson et al., 2007 Dijkstra et al., 2002 Larbig et al., 2019
	Pre-amputation pain*	4	Very strong Strong Moderate Moderate	10.40 (p=0.002) [‡] 6.36 (p=0.024) [‡] 4.22 (p<0.01) [§] 2.83 (1.38-5.76) [‡]	391 (ULA/LLA) 44 (ULA/LLA) 52 (ULA/LLA) 139 (ULA/LLA)	Yin et al., 2017 Noguchi et al., 2019 Larbig et al., 2019 Ahmed et al., 2017
	Non-painful phantom sensations	3	Very strong Strong Strong	19.50 (p<0.001) [‡] 107.30 (p<0.0001) [§] 4.94 (P<0.05) [§]	536 (ULA/LLA) 526 (ULA/LLA) 22 (ULA/LLA)	Dijkstra et al., 2002 Wartan et al., 1997 Razmus et al., 2017
	Proximal amputation*	2	Very strong Moderate	15.65 (p<0.001)‡ 1.60 (0.038)‡	104 (LLA) 536 (ULA/LLA)	Gallagher et al., 2001 Dijkstra et al., 2002
	Lower limb amputation*	2	Strong Moderate	2.50 (1.3-4.7) [‡] 5.60 (p<0.001) [‡]	914 (ULA/LLA) 536 (ULA/LLA)	Ephraim et al., 2005 Dijkstra et al., 2002
	Diabetic cause of amputation*	2	Strong Moderate	4 (p<0.001) [‡] 2.24 (p=0.032) [‡]	536 (ULA/LLA) 44 (ULA/LLA)	Dijkstra et al., 2002 Nogucji et al., 2019
	Post-amputation depression	2	Strong Moderate	3.86 (1.75-8.53) [‡] 2 (1.3-3.1) [‡]	139 (ULA/LLA) 914 (ULA/LLA)	Ahmed et al., 2017 Ephraim et al., 2005
	Use of prosthesis	2	Moderate Moderate	2.83 (1.19-4.76)‡ 4.23 (p<0.05) [¶]	139 (ULA/LLA) 57 (LLA)	Ahmed et al., 2017 Hanley et al., 2009



Non-painful phantom sensations

- Approximately 80% of amputees experience some form of non-painful phantom sensations:
- Kinetic (perception of phantom movement)
- Kinaesthetic (awareness of phantom's size, shape, and position)
- Exteroceptive (tactile, pressure, temperature, etc.)
- Telescoping









- Residual limb pain (RLP) has multiple etiologies, comprising both somatic and neuropathic pain in the stump, with possible overlaps
- Somatic pain is commonly caused by infection, vascular insufficiency, bone spur formation, unstable scar, myofascial pain, and soft tissue inflammation inhibiting or related to the prosthetic use
- Neuropathic RLP can often be attributed to the presence of neuromas, nerve compression, complex regional pain syndrome
- The pooled prevalence in this meta-analysis was 59% (95% CI: 51-67), with high heterogeneity between studies
- RLP pain may also be **multifactorial** in nature, increasing the difficulty with its management

Postamputation Residual Limb Pain Severity and Prevalence: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Plastic Surgery 2022, Vol. 30(3) 254–268 © 2021 The Author(s) Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/22925503211019646 journals.sagepub.com/home/psg

Adam G. Evans, MD¹, Sara C. Chaker², Gabrielle E. Curran², Mauricio A. Downer, Jr., BS¹, Patrick E. Assi, MD³, Jeremy T. Joseph, MD³, Salam Al Kassis, MD³, and Wesley P. Thayer, MD³

- 2022: Twenty clinical trials (1347 patients)
- Mean patient ages ranged from 38 to 77
- Prevalence of RLP at 1 week was 50%, 1 month was 11%
- From 3 months to 2 years, the prevalence of RLP remained relatively stable and was between 22% and 27%
- RLP is more common after upper extremity amputation than lower extremity amputations
- The most severe pain is reported by patients undergoing amputations due to cancer, followed by traumatic amputations, while vascular amputation patients report lower pain severity

Painful spasms of residual limb

43% of our patients with chronic postamputation pain (cPAP) presented myofascial pain syndrome







Pathophysiology



- A major difficulty in developing targeted PLP treatments relies on a partial understanding of its pathophysiological mechanisms
- There are complex interactions within the peripheral, spinal, and brain systems, with functional and anatomical changes
- Deafferentation, sensitization, and hyperexcitability of the nervous system
- Peripheral changes as neuroma development and irregular nerve activities
- Facilitation of ascending nociceptive input and a decrease in descending pain modulation



- The most common changes are somatosensory cortex, motor cortex, and thalamic alterations, indexed by neuroimages
- Altered persistence of the cortical representation of the amputated limb, motor cortex disorganization, sensorimotor cortex hyperactivity, and hyperconnectivity between the insula and the sensorimotor cortices (S1/M1)
- Chronic pain, in turn, induces observable brain changes, including gray matter reduction, associated with emotional and cognitive disturbances





Neuromatrix



Environments





Encoded by DNA Network with spatial distribution and synaptic connections genetically determined Sensory, visual and motor stimuli Behavioral experiences





- Stimulation of the face may induce painful sensations in the phantom limb
- Penfield's homunculus: the face is beside the hand
- Amputation: invasion of deafferented neighboring body parts
- Maladaptive reorganization in the somatosensory and motor remapping













PLP Cortical reorganization



Flor et al.: Nature 375, 482-484, 1995



Flor et al.: Nature 375, 482-484, 1995



Motor activity and brain reorganization

PLP

Without PLP





Δ 5.8 mm





Δ 0.4 mm

Pain, 8 (1980) 85–99 © Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press

A SURVEY OF CURRENT PHANTOM LIMB PAIN TREATMENT IN THE UNITED STATES

RICHARD A. SHERMAN *, CRYSTAL J. SHERMAN and NORMAN G. GALL

- The survey identified 68 treatment methods
- Only a few treatment methods were even moderately successful after one year

Phantom Pain

The Plenum Series in Behavioral Psychophysiology and Medicine Series Editor: William J. Ray

85

Richard A. Sherman and Associates



Figure 1 PLP treatments. Reproduced with permission from Sherman et al.⁴





Treatment and potential perioperative prevention strategies

To date, there is no single treatment has been found to effectively prevent or treat chronic Post Amputation Pain (cPAP)

Severe perioperative pain after amputation has been associated with a higher prevalence of cPAP

Thus, aggressive efforts to control pain perioperatively may potentially decrease the prevalence of cPAP

Based on this pathophysiology, pharmacological prevention and treatment options target different areas of the pain transduction and perception pathway



Prevention of PAP

- ✓ Matheny et al proposed the Lower Extremity Amputation Protocol (LEAP), a combination of pre, intra- and postoperative interventions, including:
- Preoperative assessment and counseling
- The employment of multimodal and regional anesthesia
- Early fitting of limb protector
- Physical and occupational therapies
- Standardized prosthesis fitting

✓ The results suggest a **lower incidence of PLP**, and **faster prosthetic use**

Treatment planning



 Clinically identifying the source(s) that increase the pain sensitivity may aid decision-making regarding appropriate therapeutic interventions

 Patients with increased amputated-region sensitivity, without signs and symptoms of central sensitization, may benefit from locally targeted treatment as desensitization exercises and physical therapy

 Patients who demonstrate signs of peripheral and central sensitization, may benefit most from multidisciplinary, locally and centrally targeted treatment, combined with pain neuroscience education, graded motor imagery (that included mirror therapy), and pharmacological agents targeting reduced nervous system sensitivity

Goals of Rehabilitation

- Education, adherence, and self-efficacy
- Correction of triggering and perpetuating factors
- Improvement of the habits, lifestyles, ergonomics
- Restful sleep
- Proper eating and nutrition
- Adjustments or implementation of physical activities
- Control of psychosocial stressors
- Decrease hypervigilance, catastrophism, fear and avoidance
- Proper pain and symptom management
- Therapeutic strategies (pharmacological and non-pharmacological methods)
- Multimodal, multi/interdisciplinary treatment
- Early diagnostic and treatments
 better prognosis

Teixeira, MJ; Figueiró, JB; Yeng, LT; Andrade, DCA (eds). Dor: manual para o clínico [2.ed.]. RJ: Atheneu, 2019. 879p Sarzi-Puttini P et al. Fibromyalgia position paper. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2021 May-Jun;39 Suppl 130(3):186-193.







- In PLP, its pharmacological management is based on recommendations for neuropathic pain syndromes
- However, current systematic review evidence from Cochrane (2016) suggests that three recommended pharmacological treatments (amitriptyline, duloxetine, and pregabalin) are no more effective than placebo for reducing PLP
- The lack of effectiveness of these treatments may be because they do not target maladaptive cortical reorganization, which has been shown to be strongly associated with the maintenance of PLP
- Given the difficulty in conducting a meta-analysis for nonpharmacological treatments and the weak evidence for pharmacological treatments for PLP, consensus on the first-line management of PLP needs to be reached using alternative methods

Alviar MJM, Hale T, Dungca M. Pharmacologic interventions for treating phantom limb pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;10 (10): CD006380.





Objective: To reach expert consensus and make recommendations on the effective management of PLP

The study included 27 clinicians and researchers from various health disciplines who are experts in PLP management







The rationales and percentage of experts who provided supporting rationale for each treatment							
	The percentage of experts wh						
	There is some scientific evidence supporting the effectiveness of the	The treatment is effective in clinical	There is some scientific evidence supporting the effectiveness of the treatment and the treatment	Total percentage of experts who provided supporting			
Treatment	treatment	practice	is effective in clinical practice	rationale for treatment			
Mirror therapy Graded motor imagery	21.1 26.3	15.8 26.3	57.9 42.1	94.8 94.7			
Cognitive behavioral therapy	5.3	36.8	36.8	78.9			
Sensory discrimination training	21.1	21.1	26.3	68.5			
Virtual reality treatment	10.5	36.8	21.1	68.4			
Use of functional prosthesis	22.2	16.7	27.8	66.7			
Amitriptyline	23.5	5.9	35.3	64.7			



Chung S-M, et al. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2024;0:1–12.

- 2024: The NMA incorporates 12 RCTs (783 participants)
- Changes in pain intensity
- Compared with the sham/placebo group, the summary MD of changes in pain intensity:
- -2.90 points (95% CI: -4.62 to -1.18) for rTMS;
- -1.00 points (95% CI: -3.13 to 1.13) for ctDCS;
- -1.80 points (95% CI: -3.71 to 0.11) for PNS;
- -1.50 for CPNB (95% CI: -3.10 to -0.10);
- 0.23 for cryoneurolysis (95% CI: -1.35 to 1.81);
- -0.50 for oral amitriptyline (95% CI: -2.68 to 1.68);
- -1.03 for oral gabapentin (95% CI: -2.29 to 0.23);
- -0.37 for oral memantine (95% CI: -2.11, 1.37);
- -0.10 for the oral mexiletine method (95% CI: -1.78 to 1.58);
- -1.40 for oral morphine (95% CI: -3.05 to -0.25)
- 0.20 for the alternative EMS (95% CI: -1.55 to 1.95)







Beyond traditional therapies: a network metaanalysis on the treatment efficacy for chronic phantom limb pain

Chung S-M, et al. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2024;0:1–12.

Adverse event rate

Eight trials with a total of 466 participants

In comparison with the sham/placebo group, the summary ORs for adverse event rate were:

0.60 (95% CI: 0.01 to 32.56) for rTMS

1.00 (95% CI: 0.02 to 53.89) for ctDCS 1.17 (95% CI: 0.02 to 63.97) for PNS 6.04 (95% CI: 2.26 to 16.12) for oral morphine

OR less than 1 indicates fewer adverse events





Treatament of mixed pain

- Analgesics & NSAIDs
- Opioids: tramadol, tapentadol, buprenorphine, methadone
- Tricyclic antidepressants: amitryptiline, nortriptyline, imipramine 25 75 mg/d
- SNRIs: duloxetine: 60-120 mg; venlafaxine 75 mg a 150 mg/d
- Anticonvulsivants: pregabaline >= 150 mg 2x/d, gabapentine > = 300 mg, 3x/d; carbamazepine 200 mg, 2-3x /d; oxcarbazepine 150 mg, 2x/d,
- Sleep: TA, trazodone 50 150 mg /d, doxepin 3-6 mg, ramelteon 8 mg, neuroleptics
- Botulinum toxin
- Neuroleptics: chlorpromazine, levomepromazine, periciazine 4%, 3-15 gts, 3x/d
- Local anesthetics: lidocaine patch or topics
- Capsaicin 8% patch
- Avoid or suspension of benzodiazepines and Z-drugs



Treatament of mixed pain

- Analgesics & NSAIDs
- **Opioids:** tramadol, tapentadol, buprenorphine, methadone
- Tricyclic antideproceants: amitrantiling, portriptyling, imipramine 25 75 mg/d
 SNRIs: duloxeti

 Anticonvulsiva oxcarbazepine
 Sleep: TA, trazo done 50 - 150 mg/d, doxepin 5 - 0 mg/d, and line 25 - 75 mg/d

Polypharmacy

gts. 3x/d

- Botulinum toxin
- Neuroleptics: chlorpromazine, lev
- Local anesthetics: lidocaine patch or topics
- Capsaicin 8% patch
- Avoid or suspension of benzodiazepines and Z-drugs



MAR, 64 y.o.

Car accident in 1977; using an aesthetic prosthesis Pain since 2012, worsening in last few years 05.2024, VAS of PLP was 8; Other parts of the body: 4-8

















After physical therapy, VAS came to ZERO









Hypnosis



Botulinum toxin application







Graded motor imagery with mirror therapy included





- 2024: 11 studies with 89 individuals with pain, 53 had Residual Limb Pain (RLP) and 63 had Phantom Limb Pain (PLP) using botulinum toxin injection
- There was significant variation in botulinum toxin type, injection method, and dosage
- Twenty-one (53.9%) participants had improvement in PLP
- Twenty-seven (64.3%) had improvement in RLP
- Therefore, there is **potential for use of botulinum toxin for the treatment of PLP and RLP**
- However, due to the minimal number of studies, small sample sizes, and heterogenous methodologies, our ability to conclude with certainty the efficacy of botulinum toxin injection on the treatment of PLP and RLP following amputation is limited



doi:10.1093/brain/awab189

BRAIN 2021: Page 2994 of 3004 2994

Motor cortex stimulation for chronic neuropathic pain: results of a double-blind randomized study

©Clement Hamani,^{1,2,†} Erich T. Fonoff,^{1,†} Daniella C. Parravano,¹ Valquiria A. Silva,³ Ricardo Galhardoni,³ Bernardo A. Monaco,¹ Jessie Navarro,¹ Lin T. Yeng,³ Manoel J. Teixeira^{1,3} and Daniel Ciampi de Andrade^{1,3}

- 18 patients with chronic neuropathic pain
- 2 phantom limb pain patients presented a good response to MCS

rTMS











Multimodal & multidisciplinary treatment























Holistic evaluation Adjustment of lifestyle Adeshion Integrative treatment Biopsychosocial support









30 DE OUTUBRO A 02 DE NOVEMBRO DE 2024 Centro de Convenções Rebouças – São Paulo



A conexão que transforma: a essência do cuidado integrado na dor

> Venha para o CINDOR 2024!

contato.cindor@gmail.com



Thank you very much for your attention!!

lintyeng@uol.com.br



